Univerzitet u Beogradu Poljoprivredni fakultet Institut za poljoprivrednu tehniku Naučni časopis POLJOPRIVREDNA TEHNIKA

Godina XXXIX Broj 2, 2014. Strane: 1 – 8



University of Belgrade Faculty of Agriculture Institute of Agricultural Engineering Scientific Journal

AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING

Year XXXIX No.2, 2014. pp: 1 – 8

UDK: 631.243

Originalni naučni rad Original scientific paper

# STORABILITY OF WHEAT HARVESTED BY DIFFERENT METHODS

# Rajendra Dhudashia, Mukesh Dabhi\*

Junagadh Agricultural University, College of Agricultural Engineering & Technology,
Department of Processing and Food Engineering, Junagadh, India

Abstract: Wheat was harvested through combine harvester or thresher. Labor shortage and timely completion of harvesting operation attracts farmers to harvest wheat crop through combine harvester. This facilitates to make land available for next crop sowing operation. The damage loss of wheat grain is the main disadvantage of harvesting machinery utilization. The research was carried out on six months storage of wheat obtained by combine harvester and thresher considering mechanically damaged seeds; percent weight loss, moisture content, germination percent, vigor index, pest population and percent grain damage. Wheat obtained from thresher has minimum mechanical damage (1.5 to 2.7%) and moisture content (7.70 to 7.83%) at the time of harvest as well as minimum storage loss (1.13 to 2.57%), pest population (2.78 to 4.17) and damage percent due to pest infestation (10.83 to 19.94 %) after six months of storage. Threshed grain has higher germination percentage (94.10 to 95.22%) and vigor index (212.44 to 222.98) after six months of storage.

**Key words**: wheat, storage, harvesting, pest, germination, vigor

#### INTRODUCTION

Wheat is an important cereal crop in India. Wheat when stored is often attacked by number of pests, viz., lesser grain borer, angoumois grain moth, khapra beetle, rice weevil etc. (Baloch, 1999)[2]. Traditionally, the wheat crop is harvested by sickle and

The authors acknowledge the financial helps received from Project Coordinator, All India Co-coordinated Research Project (AICRP) on Post Harvest Technology, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, CIPHET, Ludhiana.

<sup>\*</sup> Correponding author. Email: mndabhi@jau.in

prepared by thresher is a common practice. Now a day, farmers are using combine harvester for harvesting wheat crop and the use of combine harvester is increasing day by day on account of labors problem and convenience of time saving. During harvesting of wheat by combine harvester, it was observed that considerable wheat grains are damaged due to improper alignment and malfunctioning of machine parts. Moreover, the harvesting by combine harvester is generally having a slightly higher moisture content to prevent shattering losses. The mechanically damage seeds or higher moisture content encourage the infestation of pests. Very little information is available on pest incidence in storage of wheat harvested by combine harvester. Hence, it is important to find out the cause of damage of wheat crop harvested by combine harvester. Looking to the requirement, comparative study was carried out for storage of wheat obtained by thresher and combine harvester during the year 2007, 2008 and 2009.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Wheat prepared from three different combine harvester and thresher was procured from different farmer's fields and stored in gunny bags at room temperature in laboratory. Experiment was carried out in CRD design with four replications. Bimonthly observations were recorded to find out entomological, and physical changes occurred during storage. The observations were recorded from wheat samples of 500 g.

#### **Percent Mechanical Damage**

A mechanically damage grain was sorted out and counted from 100 randomly selected grains per sample from each replication and worked out percent mechanical damage grain.

#### Moisture content

The moisture content was determined by an oven method, which is a direct method. The grain was weighed and dried, then weighed again according to standard procedures. The moisture content was calculated using the moisture content equations.

Grain moisture content was expressed as a percentage of moisture based on wet weight (wet basis).

$$M = \frac{w - d}{w} x 100 \tag{1}$$

Where:

w [kg]- wet weight,

d [kg]- dry weight,

M [%] - moisture content.

A representative sample was obtained to provide a useful moisture content evaluation.

# Weight loss

Initial weight of wheat at storage time W<sub>a</sub> and weight of wheat after six months d<sub>b</sub> was noted and calculated as per following formula.

$$\% Weight loss = \frac{w_a - d_b}{w_a} x 100 \tag{2}$$

Where:

w<sub>a</sub> [kg] - initial weight of wheat,

d<sub>b</sub> [kg] - weight of wheat after six months.

#### **Percent Germination**

One hundred grain of each stored wheat sample were placed and soaked on blotting paper in the Petri dish. Each treatment was repeated eight times. After a period of 72 hours, number of germinated seeds in each Petri dish was counted. The experiment was conducted under Completely Randomized Design (CRD). The initial germination percentage of respective sample was calculated by using the following formula.

Germinatio 
$$n\%$$
 age =  $\frac{No. of \ germinated \ seed}{Total \ No. of \ seed \ soaked \ in \ each \ petridish} x100$  (3)

Same procedure was followed after a period of three months to record the final germination % age for grains of wheat.

#### Determination of the infestation % age

Initial and final infestation % age in each treatment was calculated by counting the number of un-germinated seeds in each replication of the respective treatment. Then from mean values, initial and final infestation % age in the respective sample was determined by using the formula: Same procedure was followed after a period of three months.

Germination percent was determined by taking randomly sample of 10 grain from each replication. The seed were kept in a 20 cm sterilized Petri dishes fitted with blotting paper soaked with distilled water and kept at room temp. Number of germinated seed were counted and recorded for germination percent.

#### Vigor Index

Vigor index was determined by percent germination of seed multiply root length (cm) of germinated seed after six days.

## Pest population

A number of adult of pest was counted from each randomly selected sample from each replication and recorded for pest population per sample.

#### Percent Damage grain

A damage grain by pest was counted from 100 randomly selected grains from each samples and recorded percent grain damage.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data regarding percent mechanically damaged grains, initial moisture content and moisture content after six months storage, and percent weight loss after six months storage are given in Tab. 1. The pooled data of three years indicated that the average mechanically damaged grain was found significant in different treatments. The mechanically damaged percent of grain was found more (3.58 to 8.36 %) in wheat harvested by combine harvester treatments as compared to thresher treatments (1.59 to 2.70%).

T 11 1 D . 1 . 1			1		
Lable I Percent mechanical	v damagad	arain maichira	contant and	watcht loce during	ctorage
Table 1. Percent mechanical	v uumuzeu	grain, moisiare	comen ana	weight toss aut the	siorage

	Treatments	mechanically damaged grain	Moisture content [%]		Weight loss of wheat after six	
Sr. No.		at storage time	at storage after six		months	
		[%]	time	months	[%]	
1	(i)Combine harvester wheat I	10.91* (3.58)**	8.13	7.92	3.37	
	(ii) Combine harvester	13.93				
2	wheat II	(5.80)	7.93	7.65	4.50	
3	(iii)Combine harvester	16.81	8.08	7.86	5.15	
,	wheat III	(8.36)	0.00	7.00	5.15	
4	(iv) Thresher wheat I	7.24 (1.59)	7.78	7.69	1.13	
5	(v) Thresher wheat II	8.61 (2.24)	7.70	7.58	2.24	
6	(vi) ) Thresher wheat III	9.46 (2.70)	7.83	7.77	2.57	
	S.Em .for T	0.55	0.06	0.08	0.15	
	CD at 5% for T	1.56	0.17	NS	0.42	
	S.Em.for Y	0.37	0.04	0.04	0.09	
	CD at 5%for Y	1.05	0.11	0.11	0.25	
	S.Em.for TXY	0.91	0.09	0.09	0.22	
	CD at 5%for TxY	NS	NS	0.026	NS	
	CV%	16.32	2.32	2.35	13.97	

<sup>\*</sup> Arc sin transformation

The initial moisture content at storage time was found significantly higher (7.93 to 8.13 %) in combine harvester wheat as compared to (7.70 to 7.83%) in thresher treatment. The moisture content after six months was found non significant. The percent weight loss in wheat after six months of storage was found significantly maximum in combine harvester treatment as 3.37 to 5.15% as compared to minimum in thresher

<sup>\*\*</sup> Figures in parenthesis are retransformed values

treatment as 1.13 to 2.57%. This may be due to moisture content decrease and pest damage.

It was concluded that combine harvester results significantly higher mechanical damage to wheat grain as well as higher moisture content at the time of harvest.

The data regarding initial and after six months storage period, wheat germination percentage and vigor index are given Table 2. The pool data of three years showed that germination percent was found significant at storage time. The germination percent was higher (94.10 to 95.22%) in thresher wheat as compared to combine harvester wheat (92.42 to 93.22%). The germination percent was found significant after six months of storage. The germination percent was higher (73.32 to 80.00%) in thresher wheat as compared to combine harvester wheat (59.18 to 66.99%), after six months of storage. Germination percent was decreased after six months of storage, which may be due to pest infestation. Payne T.S. (2002) [8] reported the reduction in germination due to attack of pest. Results were supported by Mahmood *et al.* 2011; Manickavasagan *et al.* 2007 and Al-Yahya 2001 [5,6,7].

		C : :: 17:				
Sr. No.	Treatments	Geri	mination	Vigor		
			[%]	Index		
		Initial at the	After six months	Initial at the	After six months	
		storage time	storage period	storage time	storage period	
1	Combine harvester	74.91*	54.93*	200.00	101.01	
	wheat I	(93.22)**	(66.99)**	280.98	191.01	
2	Combine harvester	74.13	52.95	278.47	187.19	
	wheat II	(92.52)	(63.70)			
3	Combine harvester	74.02	50.29	277.75	171.00	
	wheat III	(92.42)	(59.18)	277.75	171.90	
4	Thresher wheat I	77.38	63.44	290.83	222.98	
		(95.22)	(80.00)	290.03		
-	Thresher wheat II	75.94	60.24	278.61	219.03	
5		(94.10)	(75.36)			
6	Thresher wheat III	76.67	58.90	284.95	212.44	
		(94.68)	(73.32)	204.93	212.44	
	S.Em .for T	0.87	0.70	5.72	3.68	
	CD at 5% for T	2.47	1.98	NS	10.43	
	S.Em.for Y	0.56	0.45	3.93	2.52	
	CD at 5%for Y	1.58	1.27	11.11	7.13	
	S.Em.for TXY	1.37	1.11	9.62	6.16	
	CD at 5%for TxY	NS	NS	NS	NS	
	CV%	3.63	3.90	6.82	6.14	

Table 2. Germination and vigour index of wheat

The vigor index was found non-significant at storage time and it was significant after six months of storage. The vigor index was higher (212.44 to 222.98) in thresher wheat as compared to combine harvester wheat (171.90 to 191.01) after six months of storage.

<sup>\*</sup> Arcsin transformation

<sup>\*\*</sup> Figure in parenthesis are retransform value

From the results in the table, it can be seen that percentage germination decreased when mechanical grain damage increased. The percentage germination was inversely related to the moisture content. Vigor index at the harvest time is non-significant but after six months storage period it was significant with higher in threshed grain with lower moisture content.

The data regarding pest population and grain damage after four months and six months of storage period are given Table 3. The pool data of three years revealed that population buildup was found significant after four months of storage. The pest population (number of adult per sample) was found comparatively higher 2.81 to 6.16 and lower 1.32 to 2.32 in combine harvester and thresher wheat, respectively, after four months of storage. The population buildup was also found significant after six months of storage. The pest population (number of adult per sample) was found comparatively higher 5.40 to 7.62 and lower 2.78 to 4.17 in combine harvester and thresher wheat, respectively, after six months of storage.

	Treatments	Average number		Grain damage		
Sr. No.			/sample	[%]		
		after 4	after 6	after 4	after 6	
		months	months	months	months	
1	Combine harvester	1.82*	2.43*	15.65#	31.53#	
	wheat I	(2.81)**	(5.40)**	(7.28)##	(27.35)##	
2	Combine harvester	2.24	2.59	20.45	34.65	
	wheat II	(4.52)	(6.21)	(12.21)	(32.33)	
3	Combine harvester	2.58	2.85	25.02	41.25	
	wheat III	(6.16)	(7.62)	(17.89)	(43.47)	
4	Thresher wheat I	1.35	1.81	9.77	19.21	
		(1.32)	(2.78)	(2.88)	(10.83)	
5	Thresher wheat II	1.57	2.02	11.93	24.13	
3		(1.96)	(3.58)	(4.27)	(16.71)	
6	Thresher wheat III	1.68	2.16	13.21	26.52	
		(2.32)	(4.17)	(5.22)	(19.94)	
	S.Em .for T	0.08	0.09	0.57	0.71	
	CD at 5% for T	0.22	0.24	1.61	2.00	
	S.Em.for Y	0.05	0.06	0.37	0.44	
	CD at 5%for Y	0.14	0.17	1.04	1.24	
	S.Em.for TXY	0.12	0.14	0.91	1.07	
	CD at 5%for TxY	NS	NS	NS	NS	
	CV%	13.29	12.37	11.32	7.24	

Table. 3 Pest population (Rust red flour beetle) and grain damage of wheat

The data showed that damaged percent of grain due to pest infestation was found significant after four months of storage. The damage percent was found higher (7.28 to 17.89 %) and lower (2.88 to 5.22 %) in combine harvester and thresher wheat, respectively, after four months of storage.

<sup>\*</sup>  $\sqrt{X+0.5}$  transformation value

<sup>\*\*</sup> figure in parenthesis are retransformed value

<sup>#</sup> arcsin √percentage transformation value

<sup>##</sup> figure in parenthesis are retransformed value

The damaged percent of grain due to pest infestation was found significant, after six months of storage. The damage percent was found higher (27.35 to 43.47 %) and lower (10.83 to 19.94 %) in combine harvester and thresher wheat, respectively, after six months of storage.

The pest population showed highly significant but negative correlation with germination percentage at both initial and after six months of storage of experiment.

From the above mentioned results it can be concluded that wheat harvested through combine harvester results with higher mechanical damage which are responsible for higher pest population. These pests are responsible for the reduction in germination of wheat grains. These findings are in line with those of Zachavatkin (1941) [9], who reported that pest population affects the germination of the grains directly through damaging the growing tips. The present studies can be compared with those of Ashfaq *et al.* (1995) [1] who revealed 15-20 % germination loss in grains of wheat, maize and mung collected from Mansehra District due to pests after three months of storage. Similarly negative correlation between pest population and the germination was reported by Ashfaq and Wahla (1989) [2]. Bashir *et al.*, (2009)[3] also revealed that with the increase in pest population the germination of the seeds reduces. Based on these results it can be concluded that pests are mainly responsible for the germination loss in the stored grains.

#### CONCLUSIONS

It was concluded that combine harvester results significantly higher mechanical damage to wheat grain as well as higher moisture content at the time of harvest. The percentage germination decreased when mechanical grain damage increased. The percentage germination was inversely related to the moisture content. Vigor index at the harvest time is non-significant but after six months storage period it was significant with higher in threshed grain with lower moisture content. The pest population showed highly significant but negative correlation with germination percentage at both initial and after six months of storage of experiment. The pests were mainly responsible for the germination loss in the stored grains.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- [1] Ashfaq, M., Wahla, M.A. 1989. Qualitative losses in stored wheat by mites. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, 4(26): 474-479.
- [2] Ashfaq, M., Parveen, Z, Iqbal, S.I. 1995. The impact of mite population on infestation of wheat, mung and maize stored in private godowns of Mansehra District. *Pak. Entomol.*, 17: 120-122.
- [3] Baloch Umar, K. 1999. *Wheat: Post harvest operations*, Pakistan agricultural Research Council, Edited by Agri/FAO Danilo Mejia.
- [4] Bashir, M.H., Ashfaq, M., Afzal, M., Khaliq, A. 2009. Estimation of germination losses of wheat during storage at farmer's stores due to mite pests in tehsil Toba Tek Singh. *Int. J. Agric. and Appl. Sci.*, 1: 39-42.

- [5] Mahmood, S.U., Bashir, M.H., Afzal, M., Khan, B.S. 2011. Estimation of Germination Losses Caused by Mites in Wheat drawn from Farmer's Holdings of Tehsil Toba Tek Singh. *Pak. Entomol.*, 33(2): 143-146.
- [6] Manickavasagan, A., Jayas, D.S. 2007. White Germination of wheat grains from uneven microwave heating in an industrial microwave dryer. *Canadian Biosystems Engineering*. 49(3):23-27.
- [7] Al-Yahya, S.A. 2001. Effect of Storage Conditions on Germination in Wheat. J. Agronomy & Crop Science 186:273-279.
- [8] Payne, T.S. 2002. *Harvest and storage management of wheat* (English), In: Bread wheat; FAO Plant Production and Protection Series (FAO), No. 30 Curtis, B.C. (ed.) Rajaram, S. (ed.) Gomez Macpherson, H. (ed.) / FAO, Rome (Italy). Plant Production and Protection Div.
- [9] Zachavatkin, A.A., 1941. Fauna of USSR Arachnoidea VI (1): Tryoglyphoidea (Acari). Zool. Inst. Acad. Sci. USSR. New Ser. No. 28. English Translation 1959. Rateliffe, A. and A.M. Hughes. Amer. Inst. Biol. Sci., 573 pp.

# SPOSOBNOST ZA SKLADIŠTENJE PŠENICE POSLE RAZLIČITIH METODA ŽETVE

## Rajendra Dhudashia, Mukesh Dabhi

Poljoprivredni Univerzitet Junagadh, Fakultet za poljoprivrednu tehniku i tehnologiju, Institut za preradu i inženjering hrane, Junagadh, Indija

Sažetak: Pšenica je žnjevena žitnim kombajnom ili vršalicom. Ušteda rada i vremena privlači farmere da žetvu obavljaju žitnim kombajnom. Ovim se omogućuje oslobađanje zemlje za setvu sledećeg useva. Gubitak zbog oštećenja zrna je osnovni nedostatak primene mašina za žetvu. Istraživanje je izvedeno šestomesečnim skladištenjem pšenice dobijene žetvom žitnim kombajnom i vršalicom, uz praćenje mehanički oštećenih zrna, procenta gubitka mase, sadržaja vlage, procenta klijanja, indeksa vigora, populacije štetočina i procenta oštećenja zrna. Pšenica dobijena iz vršalice, posle 6 meseci skladištenja, ima minimalna mehanička oštećenja 1.5 do 2.7%, sadržaj vlage 7.70 do 7.83% u momentu žetve, minimalni gubitak pri skladištenju 1.13 do 2.57%, populaciju štetočina 2.78 do 4.17 i oštećenja od štetočina od 10.83 do 19.94 %. Ova pšenica je posle 6 meseci skladištenja imala i veći procenat klijanja od 94.10 do 95.22% i indeks vigora 212.44 do 222.98.

**Ključne reči**: pšenica, skladištenje, žetva, štetočina, klijanje, vigor

Prijavljen: 20.1.2014

Submitted: Ispravljen:

.

ispravijen Davisad:

Revised: Prihvaćen

24.05.2014.

Accepted: