POLJOPRIVREDNA TEHNIKA
✧
Godina XXXII
Broj 1, decembar 2007.
Strane: 9 - 18

Poljoprivredni fakultet
Institut za poljoprivrednu tehniku



UDK: 631.1.017.3

EFFECTIVE MACHINE UTILISATION ON SMALL AND MEDIUM SIZED PLANT PRODUCTION FARMS

László Magó

Hungarian Institute of Agricultural Engineering,
Hungary - 2100 Gödöllő, Tessedik S. u. 4.
laszlomago@fvmmi.hu

***Abstract**:* It is essential to develop a cost effective fleet of machinery for the present day various standard sizes of plants. In the case of the small units it is essential to develop equipment and cost saving mechanical solutions, but there is also demand for the building up of systems of machinery with modern, cutting edge technology and profit improving attributes for the medium sized farms, which more favourable specific cost level.

Farmers working from different amounts of capital on farms, which provide different levels of mechanical development potential, have to develop mechanisation solutions using the wide range of types and price range of the power and work machinery.

Taking into consideration the current partitioned structure of the farms the goal was established to determine that in the case of the different branches of plant production on small and medium sized farms which combination of fleet of machinery can be used effectively.

Based on the examinations it can be established that *in case of the smallest farm size* (**under 50 hectares**) *low level of utilization* (400-500 operational hours per year) can be reached in case of tractors. *At medium farm sizes* (**50-300 ha**) the same index is *higher*: 800-1400 operational hours per year. *In case of large scale farms* (**over 300 hectares**) tractor categories have significant operational hours capacity (1000-1800 operational hours per year).

Key words: *mechanisation of small and medium sized farms, machine fleet planning, machine utilisation, low cost machine fleet.*

INTODUCTION

It is essential to develop a cost effective fleet of machinery for the present day various standard sizes of plants. In the case of the small units it is essential to develop equipment and cost saving mechanical solutions, but there is also demand for the

building up of systems of machinery with modern, cutting edge technology and profit improving attributes for the medium sized farms, which more favourable specific cost level.

Farmers working from different amounts of capital on farms, which provide different levels of mechanical development potential, have to develop mechanisation solutions using the wide range of types and price range of the power and work machinery.

Taking into consideration the current partitioned structure of the farms the goal was established to determine that in the case of the different branches of plant production on small and medium sized farms which combination of fleet of machinery can be used effectively.

THE METHOD

Testing of the mechanised processes of agricultural harvesting was carried out using models. In the model a crop rotation plan was adopted which mirrors the Hungarian production characteristics using wheat and oil plants. Depending on the size of the farm the proportion of the sewed area of each plant was established keeping in mind the agronomical and production technological conditions.

At the basic level the experiments were focused on the lowest investment cost power machinery range of products in Hungary. With this machinery because of the low investment cost the amortisation cost is less and thus the cost of utilisation is low. The determination of the basic data of the costs of machine utilisation has been carried out, based on the database of the Hungarian Institute of Agricultural Engineering [2].

The *model calculations* involved the key plant size data of the formation of machinery systems in the range of plant size ranging from 5 to 1000 hectares. On the basis of these data, statements can be made regarding a larger segment of the estate structure, and conclusions may be drawn regarding machinery utilisation and mechanisation.

RESULTS

Conclusions drawn regarding the composition of the power machinery system and the performance of hours run, based on the results of the model calculations

The composition of machine systems of minimal utilisation cost broken down in categories of power machinery depending on the sizes of plants

In the course of carrying out our survey the universal power machinery was categorised according to *engine performance*, as well as taking into consideration the *function* of being a cereal harvesting machine. The composition of the power machinery systems rendered to a particular area was determined on the criteria of *power machinery categories*. Taking into consideration the crop structure, growing technology, conditions of mechanised work typical of the Hungarian particularities and the composition of categories of *cost effective power machinery systems* which are formed on the criterion of plant size, *regular interrelations* can be established.



Figure 1: Number of machines of various power machine categories for the given plant size

The surveyed power machinery system that can be rendered to the smallest plant size, in the case of ***tractors***, consists of machines of 40 kW, a performance that is the minimum requirement for the quality performance of soil work. If the size of the area is higher, then first the performance of the machinery making up the fleet (from the size of 30 hectares tractors with 60 kW performance are required), next the number of tractors increases. Thus, tractors of 40 and 80 kW performance are mentioned *together* in a machinery system for plant size of 100 hectares or upwards. From the plant size of 300 hectares the function of power machinery mentioned above is filled by tractors of 60 and 120 kW performance, which have sufficient capacity for the increased workload. For the plant size of 500 hectares or upwards the number of tractors increases in proportion to the growth of requirement for capacity (see **Figure 1**).

It is necessary to note that, in the case of large plant size, the cost level of machinery utilisation may be further decreased by increasing the number of performance-based categories and optimising the distribution of work among machinery connections of various performance levels. (**Magó** 2002). [4]

In order to increase utilisation, *transportation* tasks should also be realised by the means of tractor-trailer connections.

The utilisation of an own, low capacity ***cereal harvester*** may be justified above the plant size of **100 hectares**. In the case of a plant size exceeding 500 hectares, the large extent of the specialised mechanised work requires the utilisation of harvesters with larger delivery value. According to the calculations, a 1000-hectare farm requires the utilisation of at least two combine harvesters.

Optimal mechanisation levels concerning tractors, depending on plant size

The **number of tractors** required by plants of various sizes is as follows:

1) In the case of a plant size **not exceeding one hundred hectares**, we calculated with *one tractor*.

2) In the case of a plant size ranging from **one to five hundred hectares**, two tractors of different performance levels are required.

3) In the case of a plant size **exceeding five hundred hectares**, two tractors are required from both performance categories in order to carry out the work operations in time and in good quality.



Figure 2: The number of specific power machines per one hundred hectares, in the cases of various plant sizes examined

When analysing the number of own power machines per *area unit* it can be stated that, in the case of a power machinery system of minimal utilisation costs, the *coverage* on farms of ***over 50 hectares*** is favourable. The economically most favourable value can be calculated ***over 200 hectares***, in this case a maximum of *one power machine* is sufficient for the cultivation of 100 hectares of land. (**Figure 2**)

The specific performance of engines per hectare decreases substantially in the function of plant size. While on small plant sizes 2-8 kW/Ha engine performance is required for every hectare, in the case of medium sized plants this value falls within the range of 1-2 kW/Ha. In plants of large size the work operation may be carried out with a requirement of ***0.7 kW/Ha***.

The ranges of plant sizes of the "activation" of the power machinery categories

The individual power machinery categories are "*activated*" when they first appear in the power machinery system developing in the function of the growing plant size. For the "activation" of each power machinery category this is a specific range of plant size. (For example: *80 kW performance category:* directly from 100 hectares and upwards, *120 kW performance category:* from 300 hectares and upwards. The attachment of a new category also influences the costs of utilisation and investment on the level of machinery systems. (see **Figure 5** and **6**).

In connection to the above, **up to the plant size of 100 hectares**, the system of machinery is formed on the basis of power machines belonging to each of the performance categories. In the range of plant size **not exceeding 30 hectares**, if we aim to utilise our own machines, in order to decrease the fixed costs, it is reasonable to utilise machines of the *lowest performance level and purchase cost*, which are still capable of performing the required workload. If the plant size and number of work tasks grow, the solution is the *increase of the level of performance* rather than the number of machines. Thus, the category of 60-kW tractors becomes a part of the optimal machinery system **from 30 hectares upward**. 80-kW tractors are part of the system **from 100**, whereas 120-kW tractors are part of the system **from 300 hectares** upward. It is necessary to point out that size in itself does not guarantee the fulfilment of the appropriate number of work hours and favourable utilisation.

The use of own *harvester* – depending on performance and delivery value – is economically justified **over the plant size of 100 hectares**.

It can be stated that the following issues must be consequently taken into consideration prior to the "*activation*" of a new machine:

- is it not possible to perform the tasks by the means of *internal redeployment* rather than by making a new purchase;
- can the missing capacity be covered by *machine rental* or other *external service*;
- is it possible to utilise the *surplus capacity* resulting from the new purchase (eg. lease work);

The number of performed hours run in the function of plant size



Figure 3:The performed hours run by the categories of power machines in the function of plant size

With differing plant sizes the number of performable hours run has influence on the composition of categories of the power machinery system;

- In the case of the examined *smallest plant size* (**up to 50 hectares**) *low exploitage* may be achieved with tractors: maximum 400-500 hours run per year.
- In the case of *medium size plant* (**50 to 300 hectares**) this quantity is *larger,* 800-1400 hours run per year.
- With *large size plants* (**above 300 hectares**) the various categories of tractors achieve significant performance (1000-1800 hours run per year).

A cereal harvester with well-chosen capacity can achieve *good* exploitage at 300 hours run per year in the case of plant size *above 300 hectares*, by which the cost of operation becomes *reasonable*. (**Figure 3**)



Figure 4: The total performance of hours run by power machines in the function of plant size

The number of hours run projected on a *unit of area decreases* with the increase of plant size. *In small plant sizes* 10-15 hours run per year is realised. *In the range of 30 to 300 hectares* this value is 8-10 hours run per year, and, *above this range*, a value of *6 hours run per hectare* can be observed when realising the *efficient work plan*. (**Figure 4**)

The costs of use of machines

The specifications of the function of operation costs of machines projected on the size of plant



Figure 5: Specific costs of machine utilisation in the function of plant size

The result calculated for the specific *machine operation costs per hectare decreases* ***hyperbolically*** in the function of plant size (see **Figure 5**) and it borders the upper values of a real broken hyperbolic function (**Takács 2000**). (see **Figure 6**) [7]



Figure 6: The broken function of the costs of specific machine utilisation per hectares, indicating the extra costs of switching to power machines of greater performance in order to increase capacity

From the plant size of 100 hectares it gradually approaches an imaginary line that parallels the horizontal axis, thus indicating the operation cost level of a constant machinery system formed in the case of a large plant size.

In the case of the costs of machine utilisation with certain plant sizes (see 50 and 200 hectares) a more favourable value than the operation cost level of a constant machinery system formed in the case of a large plant size may be achieved. This may be due to the low operation cost of harvester doing lease work and working with efficient utilisation as well as to the appropriate utilisation of capacity of the tractors.

The composition of the utilisation costs of the machine system broken down into categories of power machines

Figure 7 illustrates that in the case of very small plant sizes (5 to 10 hectares) the specific costs of power machine utilisation are rather high even if machines of the lowest possible performance but still appropriate work quality are utilised. From the plant size of 20 hectares and upwards the specific utilisation cost of a 40 kW tractor is reduced to the level of cost specific to the cost level of other power machines.

The utilisation costs of tractors used on over 20 hectares are at an acceptable level already at the time of the activation of the given power machine. With the increase of the plant size and the level of utilisation, this value decreases further.



Figure 7: The specific utilisation cost per hours run for the various power machines in the plant sizes examined

The cost per hours run for the **cereal harvesters** is substantial. While the cost of utilisation decreases with the increase of the level of utilisation of low-performance combine harvesters, in the case of high-performance machines the number of machines increases together with the growth of the plant size, while the hour run per machine is unchanged, thus the specific costs of utilisation remain unchanged too.

It must be admitted that the utilisation of the own harvester solely for the own crops is not economical up to the plant size of 100 hectares, thus it is more favourable to perform the work tasks through the use of lease work.

When defining the real costs, it must also be taken into consideration that if, on a small plant, a power machine runs 100-200, or in a better case, 500 hours, then its machine life is expected to be not 10 year (this was the basic amortisation period we originally calculated with) but longer (**Gockler** 2007). This results in the decrease of machine utilisation. [1]

CONCLUSIONS

The results of the calculations prove that every individual work operation should be performed by the machine connection that can be utilised to the maximum extent, which has sufficient capacity to carry out the given work task of the given plant size at the appropriate time and in adequate quality. By achieving this, the work can be performed at the *lowest operational cost*.

These figures were calculated presuming the best possible level of machine utilisation among the given conditions, and low technical level of machines.

In the case of small plants the power machines perform less than 500 hours run per annum. With efficient work organisation, professional, and sometimes tight arrangement of work order, on a medium sized plant one power machine may perform a substantial number of hours run. In this case, in our calculations, 1000 hours run per annum per power machine may be realised.

In the case of large size plants, the utilisation of a heavy-duty universal power machine performing mostly tillage tasks is favourable in the range of 1000-1800 hours run per annum, whereas the utilisation of a secondary tractor performing the tasks of sowing, nutrient supply and plant protection becomes acceptable in the range of 500-1000 hours run per annum.

On the basis of earlier national plant surveys it can be stated that in the small and medium sized plants the power and work machines that could be regarded as new investments are in line with the system of machines modelled in the function of plant size introduced above.

The farmers working on small plants mostly rely on one 40-60 kW power machine in their work, whereas power machines of the medium sized plants are in line with the machine system modelled in the course of the calculations, however, in order to meet the requirements of the production technology and the requirement of performance of the employed work machines, we can often see a primary tractor of higher performance or the number of secondary tractors is higher (**Magó** 2006). [5]

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his gratitude to the OTKA Fund for the financial support (F 60210).

REFERENCES

[1] Gockler L. (2007): Mezőgazdasági gépek ára és üzemeltetési költsége 2007-ben (The Purchase Price and Running Costs of Agricultural Machines in 2007), Mezőgazdasági Gépüzemeltetés 2007. No.1., FVM Mezőgazdasági Gépesítési Intézet. Gödöllő.

[2] Hajdú J., Gockler L. (2005): Relationship between Farm Size and Mechanisation, Hungarian Agricultural Engineering, Periodical of the Committee of Agricultural Engineering of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 18/2005. p. 50-54.

[3] Husti I. (2004): Why is the Mechanisation of Hungarian Small and Medium Size Farms so Difficult, Hungarian Agricultural Engineering. No. 17. p.: 74-75.

[4] Magó L. (2002): Economically Reasonable Using of Different Power Machines According to the Farm Sizes, Hungarian Agricultural Engineering, Periodical of the Committee of Agricultural Engineering of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 15/2002. p. 79-82.

[5] Magó L. (2006): Present Situation of the Mechanization of Small and Medium Size Farms, Journal of Science Society of Power Machines, Tractors and Maintenance "Tractors and Power Machines", Novi Sad, Serbia. Vol. 11. No. 2., p. 66-73.

[6] Nagy I. (1998): Költségkímélő, közös géphasználati és gazdálkodássegítő formák,. Gazdálkodók kézikönyve. L 34. RAABE Klett könyvkiadó Kft. 24 p.

[7] Takács I. (2000): Elemzés. Egyetemi jegyzet. SZIE, GTK. Gödöllő.

EFIKASNO ISKORIŠĆAVANJE MEHANIZACIJE NA MALIM I SREDNJIM FARMAMA

László Magó

Hungarian Institute of Agricultural Engineering,
Hungary - 2100 Gödöllő, Tessedik S. u. 4.
laszlomago@fvmmi.hu

Sadržaj: Određivanje najefikasnijeg sastava mehanizacije za svaku farmu je vrlo značajno u današnje vreme. Neophodno je uraditi matematičke modele za planiranje sastava mehanizacije za male i sradnje veličine farmi za ratarsku proizvodnje. Određivanje strukture i iskorišćenost mašina koje se može primeniti na farmama male i srednje veličine, utiče na ekonomske informacije vezane uz mehanizaciju ratarske proizvodnje.

Uzimajući u obzir sadašnju fragmentiranu strukturu farmi, popstavljen je cilj da se odredi najefikasnija kombinacija mašina, koja bi se koristila u različitim vrstama biljne proizvodnje na malim i farmama srednje veličine. Konstatovano je, na osnovu istraživanja, da se na najmanjim farmama (ispod 50 ha) mogu koristiti traktori manjeg nivoa iskorišćavanja (400-500 radnih sati godišnje). Na farmama srednje veličine (50-300 ha) taj indeks je veći: 800-1400 radnih sati godišnje. U slučaju velikih farmi (preko 300 ha), traktori treba da imaju značajan radni kapacitet (1000-1800 radnih sati godišnje).

Ključne reči: *mehanizacija malih i srednjih farmi, planiranje sastava mašinskih sistema, iskorišćenost mašina, mehanizacija sa niskim troškovima*